



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/812,910

03/31/2004

Roland Boltz

0598-1001

5706

466

7590

05/17/2006

YOUNG & THOMPSON
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET
2ND FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

EPPS, TODD MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3632

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,910	BOLTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Todd M. Epps	3632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

This is the second Office Action for serial number 10/812,910, Perforated Section Supporting Device Adapted To Be Fixed To A Surface Such As A Ceiling, filed on March 31, 2004.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, line 2, the word "perforated section" cites combination / subcombination problem. "Perforated section" is not positively cited in the preamble of claim 1, and it is considered as functional language. The applicant is not claiming "perforated section" in the body of claim.

Claims 3-4 are rejected as depending in claim 2. Claim 5 is rejected as depending in claim 4, and claim 6 is rejected as depending in claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-2, and 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent No. 0108222 A2 to Rieth.

Rieth '222 discloses a fixing device (fig. 1) adapted to be fixed to a surface and to receive a perforated section, wherein device comprising a plate (3) and a support (17) and having a wall (30) substantially perpendicular to a plate (3), and at least two claws (16) with the same orientation adapted to cooperate with corresponding perforations of a perforated section, wherein the wall includes a precut locking lug (18-19) adapted to be bent; wherein a support has two parallel walls (fig. 1), the distance between the parallel walls corresponds to the distance between the branches of U-section, each of the parallel walls has at least one claw (16), all claws have the same spatial orientation, and at least one of the parallel walls has a locking lug (fig. 1); wherein the claws are produced by cutting a tongue into the corresponding wall and bending it along two transverse bending lines (fig. 2), wherein the locking lug (18) is aligned with the claws on the same wall (fig. 2), and is adapted to be bent along a bending line perpendicular to the bending lines of the claws, wherein the locking lug (18) is trapezium-shaped (fig. 2); and wherein a locking lug is adapted to be bent along a fold line that is generally parallel to a longitudinal axis of a support. However Rieth '222 fails to teach wherein a locking lug adapted to be bent into another one of the perforations. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified a locking lug to be adapted to be bent into the perforation

Art Unit: 3632

wherein doing so would provide thereof for a maximum connecting interaction with the supports to increase stiffness.

Regarding claims 4-6, and 15, Rieth '222 discloses two fixing plates with two lugs extending at a right angle from one end of a wall, and made by cutting and bending sheet metal, however, fails to specifically teach wherein a support is a substantially rectangular-section tube, and has a longitudinal slot at one corner. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add two more walls with one wall a bit smaller to form a rectangular-section tube with a longitudinal slot at one corner wherein doing so would provide maximum connecting interaction with the supports to increase stiffness.

Regarding claims 11-13, Rieth '222 discloses a fixing device as applied above, however Rieth '222 fails to teach wherein two claws on one of walls being bent along a first fold line in a first direction into the interior space and at least two claws on the other of two walls being bent along a second fold line parallel to the first fold line in the first direction away from the interior space. Since Rieth '222 discloses two walls (fig. 1), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reversed one wall to form one direction away from the interior space while the other fold line is in a direction into the interior space wherein doing so would provide maximum connecting interaction with the supports to increase stiffness.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rieth '222 in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,263,388 to Bogert.

Rieth '222 discloses the previous invention failing to specifically teach two parallel walls, wherein the walls are connected by a base perpendicular to each of the parallel walls and to the fixing plate. Attention is directed to Bogert '388 reference, which teaches two parallel walls are connected by a base perpendicular to each of the parallel walls and to the fixing plate. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide parallel walls of Rieth '222 with walls connected by a base perpendicular to each of the parallel walls and to the fixing plate as taught by Bogert '388 wherein doing so would provide for superior mounting on ceiling.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd M. Epps whose telephone number is 571-272-8282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Todd M. Epps
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3632
May 4, 2006

Joey Wujciak
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Wujciak', is written over the printed name and title of the Primary Examiner.